arXiv:1404.5177v1 [math.RA] 21 Apr 2014

TWISTED POINCARÉ DUALITY BETWEEN POISSON HOMOLOGY AND POISSON COHOMOLOGY

J. LUO, S.-Q. WANG, AND Q.-S. WU

Abstract. A version of the twisted Poincaré duality is proved between the Poisson homology and cohomology of a polynomial Poisson algebra with values in an arbitrary Poisson module. The duality is achieved by twisting the Poisson module structure in a canonical way, which is constructed from the modular derivation. In the case that the Poisson structure is unimodular, the twisted Poincaré duality reduces to the Poincaré duality in the usual sense. The main result generalizes the work of Launois-Richard [LR07] for the quadratic Poisson structures and Zhu [Zhu13] for the linear Poisson structures.

0. Introduction

Poisson structures naturally appear in classical/quantum mechanics, in the deformation theory of commutative algebras and in mathematical physics. They play an important role in Poisson geometry, in algebraic geometry and non-commutative geometry. Poisson cohomologies are important invariants of Poisson structures. They are closely related to Lie algebra cohomology, but Poisson cohomology is finer in general. The set of Casimir elements of the Poisson structure is the 0-th cohomology; Poisson derivations modulo Hamiltonian derivations is the 1-st cohomology. Poisson cohomology appears as one considers deformations of Poisson algebras. The basic concepts of Hamiltonian mechanics are conveniently expressed in terms of Poisson cohomology.

The Poisson cohomology of a symplectic manifold is precisely its de Rham cohomology. The Poisson cohomology of a linear Poisson structure is also easy to understand. The Poisson cohomology for all Poisson structures on affine plane which are homogeneous is computed in [RV02] (see also [Mon02]). Pichereau [Pic06] succeeded to compute the Poisson cohomology for affine Poisson 3-dimensional spaces and Poisson surfaces defined by a weight homogeneous polynomial with an isolated singularity. In general, computing the Poisson cohomology of a given Poisson structure is very difficult.

Poisson homology has a close relation with the Hochschild homology of its deformation algebra. In some cases, Poisson homology is computable. Van den Bergh [VdB94], Marconnet [Mar04], Berger and Pichereau [BP11] considered some 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau algebras as deformations of polynomial Poisson algebras respectively, by computing the corresponding Poisson homology, they computed the Hochschild homology of the deformation algebras by using the Brylinsky spectral sequence [Bry88, EG10]. In a similar way, Tagne Pelap computed the Hochschild

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B63, 17B55, 13D04, 16E40.

Key words and phrases. Poisson algebras, Poisson (co)homology, modular class, Poincaré duality.

homology of 4-dimensional Sklyanin algebras [TaP1, TaP2]. The Poisson structures considered by them are all Jacobian Poisson structure (JPS, for short) [Prz01]. JPS is a unimodular Poisson structure, and there is a Poincaré duality between Poisson homology and cohomology for unimodular Poisson algebras. Xu [Xu99] proved this for unimodular Poisson manifolds. So, by the duality, the Poisson cohomology in these cases can also be obtained. Tagne Pelap [TaP3] also computed the Poisson (co)homology for some non-unimodular Poisson structures (GJPS) over the polynomial algebras in 3 variables.

On the other hand, one can compute the Poisson (co)homology via the Hochschild (co)homology of the deformation algebra [LR07]. By using the semiclassical limit of the dualizing bimodule between the Hochschild homology and Hochschild cohomology of the corresponding quantum affine space, Launois-Richard [LR07] obtained a new Poisson module which provides a twisted Poincaré duality between Poisson homology and cohomology for the polynomial algebra with quadratic Poisson structure. Following this idea, Zhu [Zhu13] constructed a new Poisson module structure, which comes from the dualizing bimodule resulting in the Poincaré duality between Hochschild homology and cohomology over the universal enveloping algebra [Ye00], and obtained a twisted Poincaré duality for linear Poisson algebras.

The major work in this paper is to prove a version of the twisted Poincaré duality between the Poisson homology and cohomology for any polynomial Poisson algebras with values in an arbitrary Poisson module. The following is Theorem 3.5.

Theorem. Let R be a polynomial Poisson algebra in n variables, M be a right Poisson R-module. Then for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\mathrm{PH}_p(R, M_t) \cong \mathrm{PH}^{n-p}(R, M),$$

where $\mathrm{PH}^{n-p}(R, M)$ is the $(n-p)$-th Poisson cohomology of R with values in M, and $\mathrm{PH}_p(R, M)$ is the p-th Poisson homology of R with values in the twisted Poisson module M_t twisted by the modular derivation of R (see Proposition 2.7).

The isomorphism is obtained from an explicit isomorphism between the Poisson cochain complex of R with values in M and the Poisson chain complex of R with values in M_t. This generalizes the results in [LR07] and [Zhu13]. In the case that the Poisson structure is unimodular, the twisted Poincaré duality reduces to the Poincaré duality in usual sense. In a subsequent paper, a version of the twisted Poincaré duality between the Poisson homology and cohomology for any smooth affine Poisson variety with trivial canonical bundle is proved [LWW14]. A general duality theorem is proved by Huebschmann [Hue99] in the setting of Lie-Rinehart algebra.

The basic definitions concerning Poisson (co)homology are recalled in Section 1. The modular derivation and log-Hamiltonian derivation are discussed in Section 2. One example is given for a Polynomial Poisson algebra with Hamiltonian modular derivation such that the Poincaré duality between the Poisson homology and Poisson cohomology does not hold. So, the definition of unimodular Poisson structure is modified to be one with log-Hamiltonian modular derivation. The twisted Poisson module structure by a Poisson derivation is also given in Section 2. The main result is proved in Section 3.

1. Preliminaries and definitions

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. All vector spaces and algebras are over k.

1.1. **Poisson algebras and modules.**

Definition 1.1. [Lic77, Wei77] A commutative k-algebra R equipped with a bilinear map $\{-,-\}: R\times R\to R$ is called a **Poisson algebra** if

(1) R with$\{-,-\}: R\times R\to R$ is a k-Lie algebra;
(2) $\{-,-\}: R\times R\to R$ is a derivation in each argument with respect to the multiplication of R.

Example 1.2. (1) [Poisson's classical bracket, 1809] Let $R=C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the ring of smooth functions over the real plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. For any $f,g\in R$, let $\{f,g\}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$. Then R becomes a Poisson algebra.
(2) [GMP93] Let $R=k[x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n]$ be a polynomial algebra. Given any $n-2$ polynomials $f_3,\cdots,f_n\in R$, and $u\in R$, defining $\{-,-\}$ on R by

$$\{f_1,f_2\}=u\frac{\mathrm{d}f_1\wedge\mathrm{d}f_2\wedge\mathrm{d}f_3\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}f_n}{\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\mathrm{d}x_2\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_n}=uJ(f_1,f_2,f_3,\cdots,f_n),$$

where $J(f_1,f_2,\cdots,f_n)=\det(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j})_{n\times n}$ is the Jacobian determinant of $f_1,f_2,\cdots,f_n$. Then R is a Poisson algebra and it is called a **generalized Jacobian Poisson Structure** (GJPS) over R given by $f_3,\cdots,f_n$ and u. If $u=1$, then the Poisson structure is called a **Jacobian Poisson Structure** (JPS) given by $f_3,\cdots,f_n$.
(3) Let A be an algebra which is not necessarily commutative and $z\in A$ be a non zero-divisor central element in A such that $\bar{A}=A/zA$ is commutative. For any $\bar{x}+zA,\bar{y}+zA\in\bar{A}\,(x,y\in A)$, define

$$\{\bar{x},\bar{y}\}=[x,y]/z+zA\in\bar{A}.$$

Then $\bar{A}$ with $\{,\}$ is a Poisson algebra. Sometimes, $\bar{A}$ is called a semiclassical limit of A, A is called a quantization of $\bar{A}$ and $A_q=A/(z-q)A$ is called a deformation of $\bar{A}$, where $q\in k^*$.

Definition 1.3. [Oh99] A right **Poisson module** M over the Poisson algebra R is a k-vector space M endowed with two bilinear maps $\cdot$ and $\{-,-\}_M: M\times R\to M$ such that

(1) $(M,\cdot)$ is a module over the commutative algebra R;
(2) $(M,\{-,-\}_M)$ is a right Lie-module over the Lie algebra $(R,\{-,-\})$;
(3) $\{xa,b\}_M=\{x,b\}_Ma+x\{a,b\}$ for any $a,b\in R$ and $x\in M$;
(4) $\{x,ab\}_M=\{x,a\}_Mb+\{x,b\}_Ma$ for any $a,b\in R$ and $x\in M$.

Left Poisson modules are defined similarly. Any Poisson algebra R is naturally a right or left Poisson module over itself.

1.2. **Poisson homology and cohomology.**

Let M be a right Poisson module over the Poisson algebra R. In general, let $\Omega^1(R)$ be the Kähler differential module of R and $\Omega^p(R)$ be the p-th Kähler differential forms. There is a canonical chain complex

(1.1)

$$\cdots\longrightarrow M\otimes_R\Omega^p(R)\xrightarrow{\partial}M\otimes_R\Omega^{p-1}(R)\xrightarrow{\partial}\cdots\xrightarrow{\partial}M\otimes_R\Omega^1(R)\xrightarrow{\partial}M\otimes_RR\to 0$$

where $\partial\colon M\otimes_R\Omega^p(R)\longrightarrow M\otimes_R\Omega^{p-1}(R)$ is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(m\otimes \mathrm{d}a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \mathrm{d}a_p)&=\sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^{i-1}\{m,a_i\}_M\otimes \mathrm{d}a_1\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}a_i}\cdots\wedge \mathrm{d}a_p\\&\quad+\sum_{1\le i<j\le p}(-1)^{i+j}m\otimes \mathrm{d}\{a_i,a_j\}\wedge \mathrm{d}a_1\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}a_i}\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}a_j}\cdots\wedge \mathrm{d}a_p.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4. [Mas06] The complex (1.1) is called the **Poisson complex** of R with values in M, and its p-th homology is called the p-th **Poisson homology** of R with values in M, denoted by $\mathrm{PH}_p(R,M)$.

In the case $M=R$, the Poisson homology is the original definition of canonical homology given by Brylinsky [Bry88].

Obviously, $\mathrm{PH}_0(R,M)=M/<\{\{m,a\}_M\mid m\in M,a\in R\}>$ and $\mathrm{PH}_1(R,M)=\{\sum_i m_i\otimes \mathrm{d}a_i\in M\otimes\Omega^1(R)\mid\sum_i\{m_i,a_i\}=0\}/<\{\{m,a\}\otimes \mathrm{d}b-\{m,b\}\otimes \mathrm{d}a-m\otimes \mathrm{d}\{a,b\}\mid m\in M,a,b\in R\}>$. Indeed, there is no good interpretation for $\mathrm{PH}_0(R,M)$ and $\mathrm{PH}_1(R,M)$ as the Poisson cohomology which will be defined shortly.

Next, let $\mathfrak{X}^p(M)$ be the set of all skew-symmetric p-fold k-linear maps from R to M which are derivation in each argument, that is,

$$\mathfrak{X}^p(M)=\{F\in\mathrm{Hom}_k(\wedge^pR,M)\mid F\text{ is a derivation in each argument}\}.$$

Obviously, $\mathfrak{X}^0(M)=M$ and $\mathfrak{X}^1(M)=\mathrm{Der}_k(R,M)$. There is a canonical cochain complex

$$0\longrightarrow M\xrightarrow{\delta}\mathfrak{X}^1(M)\xrightarrow{\delta}\cdots\xrightarrow{\delta}\mathfrak{X}^p(M)\xrightarrow{\delta}\mathfrak{X}^{p+1}(M)\longrightarrow\cdots\tag{1.2}$$

where $\delta\colon\mathfrak{X}^p(M)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{X}^{p+1}(M)$ is defined as $F\mapsto\delta(F)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(F)(a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_p\wedge a_{p+1})&=\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^i\{F(a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{a_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge a_{p+1}),a_i\}_M\\&\quad+\sum_{1\le i<j\le p+1}(-1)^{i+j}F(\{a_i,a_j\}\wedge a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{a_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{a_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge a_{p+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.5. [Lic77, Hue90] The complex (1.2) is called the **Poisson cochain complex** of R with values in M, and its p-th cohomology is called the p-th **Poisson cohomology** of R with values in M, denoted by $\mathrm{PH}^p(R,M)$.

Note $\delta^0:M\to\mathfrak{X}^1(M)$ is the map $m\mapsto-\{m,-\}_M$ and $\delta^1:\mathfrak{X}^1(M)\to\mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ is the map $F\mapsto\delta^1(F)$ with $\delta^1(F)(a\wedge b)=-\{F(b),a\}_M+\{F(a),b\}_M-F(\{a,b\})$. The elements in $\ker\delta^1$ are called **Poisson derivations**, and the elements $\{m,-\}_M\in\mathrm{Im}\,\delta^0$ are called **Hamiltonian derivations**. Hence $\mathrm{PH}^0(R,M)=\{m\in M\mid\{m,a\}_M=0,\forall\,a\in R\}$ consisting of all Casimir elements in M, and $\mathrm{PH}^1(R,M)=\{\text{Poisson derivations}\}/\{\text{Hamiltonian derivations}\}$.

2. Modular class and unimodular Poisson structure

2.1. **Contraction map.** Let R be a commutative algebra.

Definition 2.1. For any $P\in\mathfrak{X}^p(R)$, the **contraction map** $\iota_P:\Omega^*(R)\to\Omega^*(R)$ is a graded R-linear map of degree $-p$, which is defined as $\iota_P:\Omega^k(R)\to\Omega^{k-p}(R)$:

when $k < p, \iota_P = 0$; when $k \geq p$ and $\omega = \mathrm{d}a_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}a_k \in \Omega^k(R)$,

$$\iota_P(\omega) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p,k-p}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) P(a_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, a_{\sigma(p)})\, \mathrm{d}a_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \mathrm{d}a_{\sigma(p+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}a_{\sigma(k)},$$

where $S_{p,k-p}$ denotes the set of all $(p, k-p)$-shuffles, which are the permutations $\sigma \in S_k$ such that $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma(k)$.

For $P = a \in R = \mathfrak{X}^0(R)$, the contraction map has to be understood as $\iota_P(\omega) = a\omega$.

Similar to the universal property of the Kähler differential module $\Omega^1(R)$ of R, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{X}^k(R) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega^k(R), R)$ as left R-modules for any k.

Definition 2.2. For any $Q \in \Omega^p(R)$, the **contraction map** $\iota_Q : \mathfrak{X}^*(R) \to \mathfrak{X}^*(R)$ is a graded R-linear map of degree $-p$, which is defined as $\iota_Q : \mathfrak{X}^k(R) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k-p}(R)$: when $k < p, \iota_Q = 0$; when $k \geq p$ and $F \in \mathfrak{X}^k(R)$,

$$(\iota_Q F)(\mathrm{d}a_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}a_{k-p}) = F(\mathrm{d}a_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}a_{k-p} \wedge Q).$$

From Definitions 2.1 and 2.2, for any $P \in \mathfrak{X}^p(R)$, $f \in R$ and $\omega \in \Omega^k(R)$,

$$\iota_P(\omega \wedge \mathrm{d}f) = \iota_P(\omega) \wedge \mathrm{d}f + (-1)^{k-p+1} \iota_{\iota_{\mathrm{d}f}(P)}(\omega). \tag{2.1}$$

2.2. **Modular class.** Let R be a smooth algebra of dimension n with trivial canonical bundle $\Omega^n(R) = R\eta$ where η is a volume form of R. Then $\eta \wedge \mathrm{d}f = 0$ and

$$P(f)\eta = \mathrm{d}f \wedge \iota_P(\eta)\, (\forall\, P \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A)). \tag{2.2}$$

If further, R is a Poisson algebra, with the Poisson structure $\pi = \{-,-\} : R \wedge R \to R$, then

$$\iota_{H_f}(\eta) = -\,\mathrm{d}f \wedge \iota_\pi(\eta) \tag{2.3}$$

where $H_f := \{f, -\} : R \to R$ is the Hamiltonian derivation associated to f.

Now we can define the modular derivation for smooth Poisson algebras with trivial canonical bundle.

Definition 2.3. Let R be a smooth Poisson algebra of dimension n with trivial canonical bundle $\Omega^n(R) = R\eta$ where η is a volume form. The **modular derivation** of R with respect to η is defined as the map $\phi_\eta : R \to R$ such that for any $f \in R$,

$$\phi_\eta(f) = \frac{L_{H_f}\eta}{\eta},$$

where $L_{H_f} = [\mathrm{d}, \iota_{H_f}]$ is the Lie-derivation on $\Omega^\cdot(R)$.

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that ϕ_η is not only a derivation, but also a Poisson derivation.

Before we give the definition of the modular class for Poisson algebras, let us say a few words geometrically. Let P be a Poisson manifold with Poisson tensor π, and with a volume form μ (for example, when P is a real orientable manifold). The operator $\phi_\mu : f \mapsto (L_{H_f}\mu)/\mu$ is a Poisson derivation and hence a vector field, where $H_f = \{f, -\}$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of f and $L_\xi = [\mathrm{d}, \iota_\xi]$ is the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field ξ. ϕ_μ is called the **modular vector field** of P. When the volume form changes, the corresponding modular vector field differs by a Hamiltonian vector field. So its class is a well-defined element in the 1-st Poisson cohomology, which is called the **modular class** of P. If the modular

class vanishes, i.e., the modular vector field is a Hamiltonian vector field, then the Poisson manifold is called **unimodular** [Wei97]. Xu [Xu99] proved a duality between the Poisson homology and cohomology in the case of unimodular Poisson manifold.

In algebra case, when the volume form is changed, the corresponding Poisson derivation is modified by a so called log-Hamiltonian derivation as we see in the following. If λ is another volume form of R, then $\lambda = u\eta$ for some unit $u \in R$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\lambda(f)\lambda =& L_{H_f}(u\eta) = \mathrm{d}(\iota_{H_f}(u\eta)) = \mathrm{d}(u\,\iota_{H_f}(\eta))\\ =& \mathrm{d}u \wedge \iota_{H_f}(\eta) + u\ \mathrm{d}\iota_{H_f}(\eta)\\ =& H_f(u)\eta + u\,\phi_\eta(f)\eta\\ =& u^{-1}H_f(u)\lambda + \phi_\eta(f)\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\phi_\lambda = \phi_\eta - u^{-1}H_u$. The Poisson derivation $u^{-1}\{u,-\} : R \to R$ is called a **log-Hamiltonian derivation** of R determined by the invertible element u. The **modular class** of R is defined as the class ϕ_η modulo log-Hamiltonian derivations (see [Dol09]). If the modular class is trivial, i.e., ϕ_η is a log-Hamiltonian derivation, then R is called **unimodular**. If the only invertible elements of R are non-zero elements in $k1_R$ as in polynomial algebras, then R is unimodular in this sense if and only if the modular derivation is zero.

2.3. **Polynomial Poisson algebras.** Now, we consider polynomial Poisson algebras. Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ be a polynomial Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}$. Then $\Omega^1(R) = \oplus_{i=1}^n R\,\mathrm{d}x_i$ and $\Omega^n(R) = R\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n$ with a volume form $\eta = \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n$. We can describe the modular derivation ϕ_η easily.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ be a polynomial Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}$. The modular derivation ϕ_η is given by*

$$\phi_\eta(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial\{f, x_j\}}{\partial x_j},\ \forall\, f \in R.$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\eta(f)\eta = L_{H_f}\eta &= \mathrm{d}(\iota_{H_f}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1}\,\mathrm{d}(H_f(x_j)\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{\mathrm{d}x_j} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1}\,\mathrm{d}(\{f, x_j\}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{\mathrm{d}x_j} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial\{f, x_j\}}{\partial x_l}\,\mathrm{d}x_l \wedge \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{\mathrm{d}x_j} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial\{f, x_j\}}{\partial x_j}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial\{f, x_j\}}{\partial x_j}\eta.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\phi_\eta(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\{f,x_j\}}{\partial x_j}$. □

There is no non-trivial Poisson structure on $k[x]$. The Poisson structure on $k[x,y]$ is given by $\{x,y\} = h \in k[x,y]$; and for any fixed polynomial $h \in k[x,y]$, $\{x,y\} = h$ gives a Poisson structure on $k[x,y]$. If the modular derivation of R is a Hamiltonian derivation, then there is some $g \in k[x,y]$, such that

$$\phi(x) = \partial\{x,y\}/\partial y = \partial h/\partial y = \{g,x\} = \partial g/\partial y\{y,x\},$$
$$\phi(y) = \partial\{y,x\}/\partial x = -\partial h/\partial x = \{g,y\} = \partial g/\partial x\{x,y\},$$

that is,

$$\partial h/\partial y = -h\partial g/\partial y,\ \partial h/\partial x = -h\partial g/\partial x.$$

Then h should be a constant in k. If h is a constant, the modular derivation of R is 0. For polynomial algebras in three variables, this is not the case.

Example 2.5. Let $R = k[x,y,z]$ with the Poisson structure given by

$$\{x,y\} = 0, \{y,z\} = y, \{z,x\} = -1.$$

In fact, $\{\{x,y\},z\}+\{\{y,z\},x\}+\{\{z,x\},y\} = \{y,x\} = 0$ implies the Jacobi identity. The modular derivation of R with respect to the volume form $\eta = \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z$ is

$$\phi_\eta = \{-,x\} = \{-x,-\},$$

which is a Hamiltonian derivation. But R is not unimodular in our sense.

It is not difficult to see that any Jacobian Poisson structure over $R = k[x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n]$ is unimodular (see also [Prz01]). The converse is true for polynomial Poisson algebras in three variables.

Proposition 2.6. [Prz01, Theorem 5] *Any unimodular Poisson structure over $R = k[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ is a Jacobian Poisson structure.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_i,x_j\} = h_{ij} \in R\,(1 \le i,j \le 3)$ and $(h_{ij})_{3\times 3}$ is a skew-symmetric matrix. For any $f,g \in R$, $\{f,g\} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}\{x_i,x_j\}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\{f,g\} =& \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}h_{12} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3}h_{13}\\ &-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}h_{12} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3}h_{23}\\ &-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}h_{13} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}h_{23}.\end{aligned}$$

$\{-,-\}$ is a Lie structure if and only if that

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\{x_1,x_2\},x_3\} + \{\{x_2,x_3\},x_1\} + \{\{x_3,x_1\},x_2\}\\ =&\frac{\partial h_{12}}{\partial x_1}h_{13} + \frac{\partial h_{12}}{\partial x_2}h_{23} - \frac{\partial h_{23}}{\partial x_2}h_{12} - \frac{\partial h_{23}}{\partial x_3}h_{13} - \frac{\partial h_{13}}{\partial x_1}h_{12} + \frac{\partial h_{13}}{\partial x_3}h_{23} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The Poisson structure is unimodular if and only if

$$\frac{\partial h_{12}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial h_{13}}{\partial x_3} = 0, -\frac{\partial h_{12}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial h_{23}}{\partial x_3} = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial h_{13}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial h_{23}}{\partial x_2} = 0.$$

Let $h_{12} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}$ for some $u \in R$. It follows from $\frac{\partial h_{12}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial h_{13}}{\partial x_3} = 0$ that $h_{13} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} + f(x_1,x_2)$ for some $f(x_1,x_2) \in k[x_1,x_2]$. Obviously, $h_{12} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}$ and $h_{13} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2}$ for some $v \in R$. By $\frac{\partial h_{13}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial h_{23}}{\partial x_2} = 0$, $h_{23} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} + g(x_1,x_3)$ for some $g(x_1,x_3) \in k[x_1,x_3]$.

Since $-\frac{\partial h_{12}}{\partial x_1}+\frac{\partial h_{23}}{\partial x_3}=0$, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3}=0$ and $g(x_1,x_3)\in k[x_1]$. Hence, there is some $w\in R$ such that $h_{12}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_3}, h_{13}=-\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}$ and $h_{23}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1}$. It follows that the Poisson structure on $R=k[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ is a Jacobian Poisson structure given by $w\in R$. □

2.4. **Twisted Poisson module structure.** There is a Poincaré duality between the Poisson homology and cohomology in the case of unimodular Poisson manifold [Xu99].

For the polynomial Poisson algebra $R=k[x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n]$ with quadratic Poisson structure $\{x_i,x_j\}=a_{ij}x_ix_j$, where $(a_{ij})\in M_n(k)$ is a skew-symmetric matrix, Launois-Richard [LR07, Theorem 3.4.2] proved a twisted Poincaré duality between the Poisson cohomology $\mathrm{PH}^*(R,R)$ and the Poisson homology $\mathrm{PH}_*(R,R_t)$, where R_t is a twisted Poisson module structure of R given by the semi-classical limit.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an n-dimensional k-Lie algebra with a basis $\{x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n\}$. Then the symmetric algebra $S=S(\mathfrak{g})\cong k[x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n]$ is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket defined by $\{x_i,x_j\}=[x_i,x_j]$. Zhu [Zhu13, Theorem 3.6] proved a twisted Poincaré duality between the Poisson cohomology $\mathrm{PH}^*(S,S)$ and the Poisson homology $\mathrm{PH}_*(S,S_t)$, where S_t is a twisted Poisson module structure of S obtained from the Nakayama automorphism of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

The following proposition shows that any Poisson module can be twisted by a Poisson derivation.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $D\in\mathfrak{X}^1(R)$ be a Poisson derivation, and M be a right Poisson R-module. Define a new bilinear map $\{-,-\}_{M^D}:M\times R\to M$ as*

$$\{m,a\}_{M^D}:=\{m,a\}_M+m\cdot D(a).$$

Then the R-module M with $\{-,-\}_{M^D}$ is a right Poisson R-module, which is called the **twisted Poisson module** *of M twisted by the Poisson derivation D, denoted by M^D.*

Proof. For any $m\in M$ and $a,b\in R$, since $D(\{a,b\})=\{D(a),b\}+\{a,D(b)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{m,\{a,b\}\}_{M^D}=\{m,\{a,b\}\}+m\cdot D(\{a,b\})\\
=&\{\{m,a\},b\}-\{\{m,b\},a\}+m\cdot\{D(a),b\}+m\cdot\{a,D(b)\}\\
=&\{\{m,a\},b\}+\{m\cdot D(a),b\}-\{m,b\}\cdot D(a)\\
&-\{\{m,b\},a\}-\{m\cdot D(b),a\}+\{m,a\}\cdot D(b)\\
=&\{\{m,a\},b\}+\{m\cdot D(a),b\}+(\{m,a\}+m\cdot D(a))\cdot D(b)\\
&-\{\{m,b\},a\}-\{m\cdot D(b),a\}-(\{m,b\}+m\cdot D(b))\cdot D(a)\\
=&\{\{m,a\}_{M^D},b\}+\{m,a\}_{M^D}\cdot D(b)-\{\{m,b\}_{M^D},a\}-\{m,b\}_{M^D}\cdot D(a)\\
=&\{\{m,a\}_{M^D},b\}_{M^D}-\{\{m,b\}_{M^D},a\}_{M^D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(M,\{-,-\}_{M^D})$ is a right Lie-module over the Lie algebra $(R;\{-,-\})$, that is, condition (2) in Definition 1.3 holds.

Next, we claim that the conditions (3) and (4) in Definition 1.3 hold.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{ma,b\}_{M^D}=\{ma,b\}+ma\cdot D(b)=\{m,b\}a+m\{a,b\}+ma\cdot D(b)\\
=&[\{m,b\}+m\cdot D(b)]a+m\{a,b\}=\{m,b\}_{M^D}a+m\{a,b\};
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\{m, ab\}_{M^D} = \{m, ab\} + m \cdot D(ab) = \{m, a\}b + \{m, b\}a + m \cdot [D(a)b + aD(b)]\\ =&[\{m, a\} + m \cdot D(a)]b + [\{m, b\} + m \cdot D(b)]a = \{m, a\}_{M^D} b + \{m, b\}_{M^D} a.\end{aligned}$$

By definition, M with $\{-,-\}_{M^D}$ is a Poisson right R-module. □

Example 2.8. (1) Consider the polynomial algebra $R = k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ with the quadratic Poisson structure given by $\{x_i, x_j\} = a_{ij}x_ix_j$, where $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$ is a skew-symmetric matrix [LR07]. By Lemma 2.4, $\phi_\eta(x_i) = (\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij})x_i$. So the Poisson module $M = R_t$ given in [LR07, §3.1(6)] is exactly the twisted Poisson R-module of R twisted by the modular derivation ϕ_η as defined in Proposition 2.7.

(2) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an n-dimensional k-Lie algebra with a basis $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$. Then the symmetric algebra $S = S(\mathfrak{g}) \cong k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket defined by $\{x_i, x_j\} = [x_i, x_j]$. One can check that in this case the modular derivation $\phi_\eta(x_i) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}\, x_i)$. The Poisson module S_t given in [Zhu13, §3] is exactly the twisted Poisson S-module of S twisted by the modular derivation ϕ_η.

The main result in this paper which will be proved in next section is that there is a twisted Poincaré duality $\mathrm{PH}^*(R, M) \cong \mathrm{PH}_{n-*}(R, M_t)$ for any Poisson module M over polynomial Poisson algebra $R = k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$, where M_t is the twisted Poisson module of M twisted by the modular derivation of R. This generalizes the main results in [LR07] and [Zhu13]. If the Poisson structure of R is unimodular, then it reduces to the Poincaré duality $\mathrm{PH}^*(R, M) \cong \mathrm{PH}_{n-*}(R, M)$ for any Poisson R-module M.

The following example shows that Poincaré duality does not hold between the Poisson homology and cohomology even for polynomial Poisson algebras in three variables with Hamiltonian modular derivation.

Example 2.9. Let $R = k[x, y, z]$ with the Poisson structure as given in Example 2.5. Consider the Poisson chain complex:

$$0 \to \Omega^3(R) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega^2(R) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega^1(R) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega^0(R) \to 0,$$

which is

$$0 \to R\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z \xrightarrow{\partial} R\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}x \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

$R\,\mathrm{d}x \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}y \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}z \xrightarrow{\partial} R \to 0$, with

$$\partial(f\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z) = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\,\mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} y\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}z + (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y + f)\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{PH}_3(R, R) = \ker \partial \cong \{f \in R \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y + f = 0\}$.

Suppose that $f = b_0(x) + b_1(x)y + \cdots + b_m(x)y^m$. Then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y + f = 0$ if and only if $b_i(x) = -b_i'(x) - ib_i(x)\,(i \geq 1)$ and $b_0(x) = -b_0'(x)$ if and only if $f = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{PH}_3(R, R) = 0$.

Next we compute the Poisson homology $\mathrm{PH}_3(R, R_t)$. Consider the Poisson chain complex:

$$0 \to R_t \otimes \Omega^3(R) \xrightarrow{\partial} R_t \otimes \Omega^2(R) \xrightarrow{\partial} R_t \otimes \Omega^1(R) \xrightarrow{\partial} R_t \otimes \Omega^0(R) \to 0,$$

which is $0 \to R\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z \xrightarrow{\partial} R\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}x \xrightarrow{\partial} R\,\mathrm{d}x \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}y \oplus R\,\mathrm{d}z \xrightarrow{\partial} R \to 0$, with

$$\partial(g\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z) = -\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\,\mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}y\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}z + (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}y)\,\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{PH}_3(R, R_t) = \ker \partial \cong \{g \in R \mid \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = 0, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}y = 0\}$. Suppose that $g = c_0 + yf(x,y) + xh(x)$. Then, on one hand $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = y\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + h(x) + x\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$, and on the other hand, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = -y\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$. It follows that $h(x) + x\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0$ and $h(x) = 0$. Hence $g = c_0 + yf(x,y)$. Then $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}y = 0$ if and only if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}y + f = 0$, if and only if $f = 0$, as showed before. Hence $g = c_0$ and $\mathrm{PH}_3(R, R_t) \cong k$.

It follows from our main result Theorem 3.5, $\mathrm{PH}^0(R, R) \cong \mathrm{PH}_3(R, R_t) \cong k$. Hence $\mathrm{PH}^0(R, R)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{PH}_3(R, R)$.

3. Poincaré duality of polynomial Poisson algebras

In this section, let $R = k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ be a polynomial Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}$, and M be an arbitrary right Poisson R-module. By using the twisted Poisson R-module M_t of M twisted by the modular derivation ϕ_η, where $\eta = \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n$ is the volume from of R, we prove a twisted Poincaré duality between the Poisson cohomology of R with values in M and the Poisson homology of R with values in the twisted Poisson module M_t. This generalizes the duality theorem for unimodular Poisson algebras and also the main results in [LR07] for quadratic Poisson algebras and in [Zhu13] for linear Poisson algebras.

For any R-module M, let $\ddagger^p_M \colon \mathfrak{X}^p(M) \to M \otimes_R \Omega^{n-p}(R)$ be the map

$$F \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p,n-p}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) F(x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any R-module M, $\ddagger^p_M \colon \mathfrak{X}^p(M) \to M \otimes_R \Omega^{n-p}(R)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It is well known that $\ddagger^p_R : \mathfrak{X}^p(R) \cong \Omega^{n-p}(R), F \mapsto \iota_F\eta$ is an isomorphism, with the inverse $\Omega^{n-p}(R) \to \mathfrak{X}^p(R), Q \mapsto \iota_Q\eta^*$, where $\eta^* = \partial/\partial x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial/\partial x_n \in \mathfrak{X}^n(R) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega^n(R), R)$.

Since $\Omega^p(R)$ is a finitely generated projective R-module ($p \geq 0$), with a dual basis

$$\{\mathrm{d}x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{i_p}, \partial/\partial x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial/\partial x_{i_p}\}_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq n},$$

there are canonical isomorphisms of left R-modules

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{X}^p(M) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega^p(R), M) \\
&\cong M \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega^p(R), R) \\
&\cong M \otimes_R \mathfrak{X}^p(R) \\
&\cong M \otimes_R \Omega^{n-p}(R),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is $\mathrm{id}_M \otimes \ddagger^p_R$. The isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}^p(M) \to M \otimes_R \Omega^{n-p}(R)$ given by the composition

$$\mathfrak{X}^p(M) \to M \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega^p(R), R) \to M \otimes_R \Omega^{n-p}(R),$$

is

$$\begin{aligned}
F &\mapsto \sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_p\le n} F(x_{i_1},\cdots,x_{i_p})\otimes \partial/\partial x_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\partial/\partial x_{i_p}\\
&\mapsto \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_p}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)F(x_{i_1},\cdots,x_{i_p})(\partial/\partial x_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\partial/\partial x_{i_p})(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\\
&\qquad \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)F(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly $\ddagger_M^p$. □

In the following, let $\dagger_M^p = (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\ddagger_M^p$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ be a polynomial Poisson algebra, M be a right Poisson R-module and M_t be the twisted Poisson module of M twisted by the modular derivation ϕ_η. Then the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{X}^p(M) & \xrightarrow{\delta^p} & \mathfrak{X}^{p+1}(M)\\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \dagger_M^p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \dagger_M^{p+1}}\\
M_t\otimes_R\Omega^{n-p}(R) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-p}} & M_t\otimes_R\Omega^{n-p-1}(R).
\end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Suppose $f\in\mathfrak{X}^p(M)$. Then, by (1.2) and (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\dagger_M^{p+1}\cdot\delta^p)(f) = \dagger_M^{p+1}(\delta^p(f))\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^i\{f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}}\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)}),x_{\sigma(i)}\}\otimes\\
&\quad \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j}\\
&f(\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\},x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the first term by U and the second one by V.

Let $S_{p,1,n-p-1}$ be the set of all $(p,1,n-p-1)$-shuffles, which are all the permutations $\sigma\in S_n$ such that $\sigma(1)<\cdots<\sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(p+2)<\cdots<\sigma(n)$. For any $\sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}$ and any $i\in\{1,2,\cdots,p+1\}$, if we move $\sigma(i)$ backward to the position $p+1$, then we get a shuffle in $S_{p,1,n-p-1}$. This gives the following one to one correspondence

$$S_{p+1,n-p-1}\times\{1,2,\cdots,p+1\}\to S_{p,1,n-p-1},(\sigma,i)\mapsto\tau=\sigma\alpha_i,$$

where $\alpha_i=(i,i+1,\cdots,p+1)$. Note that $\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha_i)=(-1)^{p-i+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= (-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}(-1)^{p+1}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma\alpha_i)\\
&\qquad \{f(x_{\sigma\alpha_i(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma\alpha_i(i-1)},x_{\sigma\alpha_i(i)},\cdots,x_{\sigma\alpha_i(p)}),x_{\sigma\alpha_i(p+1)}\}\otimes\\
&\qquad \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\alpha_i(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\alpha_i(n)}\\
&= (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\tau\in S_{p,1,n-p-1}}\mathrm{sgn}(\tau)\{f(x_{\tau(1)},\cdots,x_{\tau(p)}),x_{\tau(p+1)}\}\otimes
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}.$$

Since f is a derivation in each argument, $f(X,y_2,\cdots,y_n)=\sum_{l=1}^n\frac{\partial X}{\partial x_l}f(x_l,y_2,\cdots,y_n)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
V=&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j}\sum_{l=1}^n\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_l}\\
&f(x_l,x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j}\sum_{l=1}^n\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(l)},x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{j-1}\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(i)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(i)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i}\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(j)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(j)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j}\sum_{l=p+2}^n\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(l)},x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{j=2}^{p+1}\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}(-1)^{j-1}\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(i)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(i)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=i+1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i}\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(j)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(j)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j}\sum_{l=p+2}^n\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(l)},x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i}\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(j)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1\\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j}\sum_{l=p+2}^n\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\\
&f(x_{\sigma(l)},x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&V_1+V_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where V_1 is the first term, and V_2 is the second one of the last expression.

As we did for U,

$$\begin{aligned}V_1 =&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(j)}}\\&f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,\widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p+1)})\otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\end{aligned}$$

can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}V_1 =&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\tau\in S_{p,1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\frac{\partial\{x_{\tau(p+1)},x_{\tau(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\tau(j)}}\\&f(x_{\tau(1)},\cdots,x_{\tau(p)})\otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using (1.1), (3.1) and $\mathrm{d}\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}=\sum_{l=1}^n\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)},x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_l}\mathrm{d}x_l$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(\partial_{n-p}\cdot\dagger_M^p)(f)=\partial_{n-p}(\dagger_M^p(f))\\=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{n-p}(-1)^{i-1}\{f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)}),x_{\sigma(p+i)}\}_{M_t}\otimes\\&\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\&+(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n-p\\ \sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\\&\mathrm{d}\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\=&L+N,\end{aligned}$$

where L is the first term, and N is the second one of the last expression.

Consider the one-to-one correspondence

$$S_{p,n-p}\times\{1,2,\cdots,n-p\}\to S_{p,1,n-p-1},(\sigma,i)\mapsto\tau=\sigma\beta_i,$$

where $\beta_i=(p+i,p+i-1,\cdots,p+1)$. Note that $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta_i)=(-1)^{i-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}L=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{n-p}(-1)^{i-1}\{f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)}),x_{\sigma(p+i)}\}_{M_t}\otimes\\&\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\sum_{i=1}^{n-p}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\beta_i)\{f(x_{\sigma\beta_i(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma\beta_i(p)}),x_{\sigma\beta_i(p+1)}\}_{M_t}\otimes\\&\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_i(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_i(p+i)}\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_i(p+i+1)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_i(n)}\\=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\tau\in S_{p,1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\{f(x_{\tau(1)},\cdots,x_{\tau(p)}),x_{\tau(p+1)}\}_{M_t}\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}\\=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\tau\in S_{p,1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\{f(x_{\tau(1)},\cdots,x_{\tau(p)}),x_{\tau(p+1)}\}\otimes\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}\\&+(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\tau\in S_{p,1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)f(x_{\tau(1)},\cdots,x_{\tau(p)})\cdot\phi_\eta(x_{\tau(p+1)})\otimes\\&\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}\\=&L_1+L_2,\end{aligned}$$

where L_1 is the first term, and L_2 is the second one of the last expression.

$$\begin{aligned}
N =&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p}(-1)^{i+j}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\sum_{l=1}^{n}\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_l}\,\mathrm{d}x_l\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p}(-1)^{i+j}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\sum_{l=1}^{n}\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\,\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(l)}\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p}(-1)^{j-1}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\,\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p}(-1)^{i}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\,\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p}(-1)^{i+j}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\sum_{l=1}^{p}\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\,\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(l)}\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{n-p}\sum_{j=1}^{n-p}(-1)^{i}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\\
&\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
&+(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p}(-1)^{i+j}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\sum_{l=1}^{p}\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\,\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(l)}\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&N_1+N_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where N_1 is the first term, and N_2 is the second term of the last expression.

As we did for L,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{n-p}\sum_{j=1}^{n-p}(-1)^{i}f(x_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,x_{\sigma(p)})\otimes\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)},x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(p+j)}}\,\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}}\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)}\\
=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sum_{\tau\in S_{p,1,n-p-1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\sum_{j=1}^{n-p}(-1)f(x_{\tau(1)},\cdots,x_{\tau(p)})\otimes\\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\tau(p+1)},x_{\tau(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\tau(p+j)}}\,\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $U = L_1$. By the following Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, $V_1 = L_2 + N_1$ and $V_2 = N_2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\dagger_M^{p+1} \cdot \delta_p)(f) &= U + V = U + V_1 + V_2 \\ &= L_1 + L_2 + N_1 + N_2 = L + N \\ &= (\partial_{n-p} \cdot \dagger_M^p)(f).\end{aligned}$$

So, the diagram 3.2 is commutative. □

Lemma 3.3. $V_1 = L_2 + N_1$.

Proof. By lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}&V_1 - N_1 \\ =&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \sum_{\tau \in S_{p,1,n-p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) f(x_{\tau(1)}, \cdots, x_{\tau(p)}) \cdot \\ &(\sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \frac{\partial\{x_{\tau(p+1)}, x_{\tau(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\tau(j)}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-p} \frac{\partial\{x_{\tau(p+1)}, x_{\tau(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\tau(p+j)}}) \otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)} \\ =&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \sum_{\tau \in S_{p,1,n-p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) f(x_{\tau(1)}, \cdots, x_{\tau(p)}) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\{x_{\tau(p+1)}, x_{\tau(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\tau(j)}} \\ &\otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)} \\ =&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \sum_{\tau \in S_{p,1,n-p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) f(x_{\tau(1)}, \cdots, x_{\tau(p)}) \cdot \phi_\eta(x_{\tau(p+1)}) \\ &\otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)} \\ =&L_2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. $V_2 = N_2$.

Proof. Let $S_{p-1,2,1,n-p-2}$ denote the set of all $(p-1, 2, 1, n-p-2)$-shuffles, which are the permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p-1)$, $\sigma(p) < \sigma(p+1)$ and $\sigma(p+3) < \cdots < \sigma(n)$. For any shuffle $\sigma \in S_{p+1,n-p-1}$, any $i < j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p+1\}$ and any $l \in \{p+2, p+3, \cdots, n\}$, we can get a shuffle $\tau \in S_{p-1,2,1,n-p-2}$ by the following three steps. First move $\sigma(l)$ backward to the position $p+2$ which can be realized as $\sigma\beta_l$ with $\beta_l = (l, l-1, \cdots, p+2)$; then move $\sigma(j)$ forward to the position $p+1$ which is realized as $\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j$ with $\alpha'_j = (j, j+1, \cdots, p+1)$; and finally move $\sigma(i)$ forward to the position p which is realized as $\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i$ with $\alpha_i = (i, i+1, \cdots, p)$. So we have a map

$$S_{p+1,n-p-1} \times \{1 \le i < j \le p+1\} \times \{p+2 \le l \le n\} \to S_{p-1,2,1,n-p-2},$$
$$(\sigma, i < j, l) \mapsto \tau = \sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i,$$

This map turns out to be a bijection. Note that $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta_l) = (-1)^{l-p}$, $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha'_j) = (-1)^{p+1-j}$, $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha_i) = (-1)^{p-i}$.

Then

$$V_2 = (-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le p+1 \\ \sigma \in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{i+j} \sum_{l=p+2}^{n} \frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(i)}, x_{\sigma(j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(x_{\sigma(l)}, x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{\sigma(i)}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{\sigma(j)}}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(p+1)}) \otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)} \\
=&(-1)^{\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le p+1 \\ \sigma\in S_{p+1,n-p-1}}} \sum_{l=p+2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i)(-1)^{p+l+1} \\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(p)}, x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(p+1)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(p+2)}} f(x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(p+2)}, x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(p-1)}) \\
&\otimes (-1)^{l-p}\, \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(p+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_l\alpha'_j\alpha_i(n)} \\
=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}+p} \sum_{\tau\in S_{p-1,2,1,n-p-2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \frac{\partial\{x_{\tau(p)}, x_{\tau(p+1)}\}}{\partial x_{\tau(p+2)}} \\
&f(x_{\tau(p+2)}, x_{\tau(1)}, \cdots, x_{\tau(p-1)}) \otimes \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for any shuffle $\sigma \in S_{p,n-p}$, any $i < j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-p\}$ and any $l \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p\}$, we can get a shuffle $\tau \in S_{p-1,2,1,n-p-2}$ in the following three steps. First move $\sigma(p+i)$ backward to the position $p+1$, which is realized as $\sigma\beta_{p+i}$ with $\beta_{p+i} = (p+i, p+i-1, \cdots, p+1)$; then move $\sigma(p+j)$ backward to the position $p+2$, which is realized as $\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}$ with $\beta'_{p+j} = (p+j, p+j-1, \cdots, p+2)$; and finally move $\sigma(l)$ forward to the position $p+2$, which is realized as $\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l$ with $\alpha_l = (l, l+1, \cdots, p, p+1, p+2)$. So we have the following one-to-one correspondence

$$S_{p,n-p} \times \{1 \le i < j \le n-p\} \times \{1 \le l \le p\} \to S_{p-1,2,1,n-p-2},$$

$$(\sigma, i < j, l) \mapsto \tau = \sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l.$$

Note that $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta_{p+i}) = (-1)^{i-1}$, $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta'_{p+j}) = (-1)^j$, $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha_l) = (-1)^{p-l}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_2 =&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \sum_{\sigma\in S_{p,n-p}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p} (-1)^{i+j} f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes \sum_{l=1}^{p} \\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma(p+i)}, x_{\sigma(p+j)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma(l)}}\, \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(l)} \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+i)}} \cdots \widehat{\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(p+j)}} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma(n)} \\
=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n-p \\ \sigma\in S_{p,n-p}}} \sum_{l=1}^{p} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l)(-1)^{p-l-1} \\
&(-1)^{l-1} f(x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(p+2)}, x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(p-1)}) \\
&\otimes \frac{\partial\{x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(p)}, x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(p+1)}\}}{\partial x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(p+2)}} \\
&\mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(p+2)} \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(p+3)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\sigma\beta_{p+i}\beta'_{p+j}\alpha_l(n)} \\
=&(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}+p} \sum_{\tau\in S_{p-1,2,1,n-p-2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) f(x_{\tau(p+2)}, x_{\tau(1)}, \cdots, x_{\tau(p-1)}) \otimes \\
&\frac{\partial\{x_{\tau(p)}, x_{\tau(p+1)}\}}{\partial x_{\tau(p+2)}}\, \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(p+2)} \wedge dx_{\tau(p+3)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{\tau(n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $V_2 = N_2$. Thus the lemma is proved. □

By lemma 3.1, the commutative diagram in Proposition 3.2 leads to a twisted Poincaré duality between the Poisson homology of R with values in M_t and the Poisson cohomology of R with values in M.

Theorem 3.5. *For all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{PH}_p(R, M_t) \cong \mathrm{PH}^{n-p}(R, M)$. The isomorphism is functorial.*

Remark 3.6. Suppose the polynomial Poisson algebra R is unimodular, that is $\phi_\eta = 0$. Let $M = R$. Then $M_t = M = R$ and $\mathrm{PH}_p(R) \cong \mathrm{PH}^{n-p}(R)$, which is the Poincaré duality for unimodular Poisson algebra.

Remark 3.7. Theorem 3.5 generalizes [LR07, Theorem 3.4.2] and [Zhu13, Theorem 3.6] (See Example 2.8).

Acknowledgments

Q.-S. Wu is supported by the NSFC (project 11171067 and key project 11331006), S.-Q. Wang is supported by the NSFC (project 11301180) , China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (project 2013M541478) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (project 222201314032).

References

[BP11] R. Berger, A. Pichereau, Calabi-Yau algebras viewed as deformations of Poisson algebras, ArXiv: math.RA/1107.4472.

[Bry88] J. L. Brylinski, A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), 93–114.

[Dol09] V. A. Dolgushev, The Van den Bergh duality and the modular symmetry of a Poisson variety, Selecta Math. 14 (2009), 199–228.

[EG10] P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, Noncommutative del Pezzo surfaces and Calabi-Yau algebras, arXiv: math. QA/0709.3593v4.

[GMP93] J. Grabowski, G. Marmo, A. M. Perelomov, Poisson structures: towards a classification, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8 (1993), 1719–1733.

[Hue90] J. Huebschmann, Poisson cohomology and quantization, J. Reine Angew. Math. 408 (1990), 57–113.

[Hue99] J. Huebschmann, Duality for LieCRinehart algebras and the modular class, J. Reine Angew. Math. 510 (1999), 103–159.

[LR07] S. Launois, L. Richard, Twisted Poincaré duality for some quadratic Poisson algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 79 (2007), 161–174.

[Lic77] A. Lichnerowicz, Les varieties de Poisson et leurs algebres de Lie associees (French), J. Differential Geometry, 12 (1977), 253–300.

[LWW14] Twisted Poincaré duality for smooth affine Poisson varieties, preprint (2014).

[Mar04] N. Marconnet, Homologies of cubic Artin-Schelter regular algebras, Journal of Algebra 278 (2004), 638–665.

[Mas06] T. Maszczyk, Maximal commutative subalgebras, Poisson geometry and Hochschild homology, Preprint: ArXiv: math.KT/0603386.

[Mon02] P. Monnier, Formal Poisson cohomology of quadratic Poisson structure, Lett. Math. Phys. 59, 253–267(2002).

[Oh99] S. Q. Oh, Poisson enveloping algebras, Comm. Algebra, 27 (1999), 2181–2186.

[Pic06] A. Pichereau, Poisson (co)homology and isolated singularities, Journal of Algebra 299 (2006), 747–777.

[Prz01] R. Przybysz, On one class of exact Poisson structures, Journal of Mathematical Physics 42 (2001), 1912–1920.

[RV02] C. Roger, P. Vanhaecke, Poisson cohomology of the affine plane, Journal of Algebra 251 (2002), 448–460.

[TaP1] S. R. Tagne Pelap, On the Hochschild homology of elliptic Sklyanin algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 87 (2009), 267–281 (arXiv: math. KT/0805.2852v5).

[TaP2] S. R. Tagne Pelap, Poisson (co)homology of polynomial Poisson algebras in dimension four: Sklyanin's case, Journal of Algebra 322 (2009), 1151–1169 (arXiv: math. KT/0803.1635v1).

[TaP3] S. R. Tagne Pelap, Homological properties of certain generalized Jacobian Poisson structures in dimension 3, J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011), 2352–2368 (arXiv: math. QA/1004.3401V4).

[VdB94] M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative homology of some three-dimensional quantum spaces, K-Theory 8 (1994), 213–230.

[Wei77] A. Weinstein, Lecture on symplectic manifolds, CBMS Conference series in Math. 29, 1977.

[Wei97] A. Weinstein, The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold, J. Geom. Phys. 23 (1997), 379–394.

[Xu99] P. Xu, Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry, Commun. Math. Phys, 200 (1999), 545–560.

[Ye00] A. Yekutieli, The rigid dualizing complex of a universal enveloping algebra, Journal of Algebra 150 (2000), 85–93.

[Zhu13] C. Zhu, Twisted Poincaré duality for Poisson homology and cohomology of linear Poisson algebras (preprint 2012), to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
E-mail address: 13110180056@fudan.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China
E-mail address: sqwang@ecust.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
E-mail address: qswu@fudan.edu.cn